

61-71 Goulburn Street, Liverpool

Planning Proposal (Pre-Gateway)

13/05/2022 P1362r02v05



info@asongroup.com.au +61 2 9083 6601 Suite 17.02, Level 17, 1 Castlereagh Street, Sydney, NSW 2000

Document Control

Project No	P1362	
Project	1-71 Goulburn Street, Liverpool, Planning Proposal	
Client	Sacco Building Group Pty Ltd c/- Team2 Architects	
File Reference	P1362r02v04 – 61-71 Goulburn Street, Liverpool - Planning Proposal	

Revision History

Revision No.	Date	Details	Author	Approved by
-	28/09/21	Draft	M. Tran	T. Lewis
01	08/11/21	Issue I	E. Ye	T. Lewis
02	25/11/21	Issue II	J. Wu	E. Ye
03	26/11/21	Issue III	S. Bandaranayake	E. Ye
04	13/05/22	Issue IV	E. Ye	A. Rasouli
05	19/05/22	Issue V	E. Ye	E. Ye

This document has been prepared for the sole use of the Client and for a specific purpose, as expressly stated in the document. Ason Group does not accept any responsibility for any use of or reliance on the contents on this report by any third party. This document has been prepared based on the Client's description of its requirements, information provided by the Client and other third parties.



contents

Glossary

1	Intr	1	
	1.1	Overview	1
	1.2	The Proposal	2
	1.3	Consultation with Council	3
	1.4	Key References	4
2	Exis	sting Conditions	5
	2.1	Existing Land Use & Access	5
	2.2	Road Hierarchy	5
	2.3	Traffic Volumes	7
	2.4	Intersection Performance	8
	2.5	Public Transport Network	9
	2.6	Surrounding Active Transport	13
	2.7	Travel Mode Share	13
3	Stra	ategic Context	16
	3.1	Statutory Planning Framework	16
	3.2	Liverpool Innovation Precinct	18
	3.3	Liverpool Local Strategic Planning Statement 2020	18
	3.4	Liverpool City Public Domain Master Plan	20
	3.5	Liverpool Bike Plan	20
	3.6	Campbell Street Closure	20
4	Par	king Provisions	21
	4.1	Car Parking	21
	4.2	Bicycle Parking	23
	4.3	Motorcycle Parking	23
	4.4	Servicing	24
5	Оре	erational Traffic Analysis	25
	5.1	Traffic Generation	25
	5.2	Development Traffic Distribution	26
	5.3	Surrounding Developments	27
	5.4	Cumulative Traffic	29
	5.5	Traffic Impact	30
	5.6	Vehicle Access	31
	5.7	Pedestrian Access and Amenity	32
6	Des	ign Commentary	34
	6.1	Design Standards	34



6.2	Design Vehicles	34
Sum	imary and Recommendations	35
7.1	Key Findings	35
7.2	Conclusions	35
	Sum 7.1	 6.2 Design Vehicles Summary and Recommendations 7.1 Key Findings 7.2 Conclusions

contents continued

Figures

. 1
2
3
6
. 7
11
14
14
17
19
26
27
28
28
29
29
32

Tables

Table 1 – Intersection Assessment Criteria	8
Table 2 – Existing Intersection Performance	9
Table 3 – Train Frequencies at Liverpool Railway Station	10
Table 4 – Bus Services	12
Table 5 – LLEP 2008 Controls	16
Table 6 – RMS Guide Car Parking Requirements	22
Table 7 – Liverpool LEP Car Parking Requirements	22
Table 8 – Accessible Parking Provisions	23
Table 9 – Bicycle Parking Provisions	23
Table 10 – Motorcycle Parking Provisions	23
Table 11 – Proposal Development Traffic Generation	25
Table 12 – 2023 Intersection Performance without development	30
Table 13 – 2033 Intersection Performance without development	30
Table 14 – 2023 Intersection Performance with development	31
Table 15 – 2033 Intersection Performance with development	31



Glossary

Acronym	Description	
AGRD	Austroads Guide to Road Design	
AGTM	Austroads Guide to Traffic Management	
CC	Construction Certificate	
Council	Liverpool City Council	
DA	Development Application	
DCP	Development Control Plan	
DoS	Degree of Saturation	
DPIE	Department of Planning, Industry and Environment	
FSR	Floor space ratio	
GFA	Gross Floor Area	
HRV	Heavy Rigid Vehicle (as defined by AS2890.2:2018)	
LEP	Local Environmental Plan	
LGA	Local Government Area	
LoS	Level of Service	
MOD	Section 4.55 Modification (also referred as a S4.55)	
MRV	Medium Rigid Vehicle (as defined by AS2890.2:2018)	
NHVR	National Heavy Vehicle Regulator	
OC	Occupation Certificate	
RMS Guide	Transport for NSW (formerly Roads and Traffic Authority), Guide to Traffic Generating Developments, 2002	
S4.55	Section 4.55 Modification (also referenced as MOD)	
S96	Section 96 Modification (former process terminology for an S4.55)	
SRV	Small Rigid Vehicle (as defined by AS2890.2:2018)	
TDT 2013/04a	TfNSW Technical Direction, Guide to Traffic Generating Developments – Updated traffic surveys, August 2013	
TfNSW	Transport for New South Wales	
TIA	Transport Impact Assessment	
TIS	Transport Impact Statement	
veh/hr	Vehicle movements per hour (1 vehicle in & out = 2 movements)	



1 Introduction

1.1 Overview

Ason Group has been commissioned by Sacco Building Group Pty Ltd to prepare a Transport Assessment (TA) supporting a Pre-Gateway Planning Proposal of a New Health Care Development at 61-71 Goulburn Street, Liverpool (the Site).

The Site is located within the Liverpool City Council Local Government Area (LGA) and is therefore subject to that Council's controls. Under the *Liverpool Local Environmental Plan 2008* (LLEP), the current *M4 – Mixed Use* zoning allows for the construction of a Health Care Facility. This Planning Proposal seeks to amend the LEP by way of the following:

- Amend the maximum building height to establish a new height control of 79 m
- Maximising the Floor Space Ratio (FSR) from 3.5 : 1 to 6.9 : 1

To assist in realising this, the Proposal will put forward a 20-storey health facility with 4 levels of underground parking and services.

More broadly, it is envisaged that the Planning Proposal has the opportunity to become an integral coelement of the Liverpool Hospital Upgrade which is located across the road and accessed from Goulburn Street.

Figure 1 shows the Site location relative to the surrounding land development context.



Figure 1: Site Location



1.2 The Proposal

The Planning Proposal proposes a Private Hospital Health Care Centre Development with the key aspects summarised as follows:

- Amend the maximum building height to allow building heights up to 79 metres
- Establish a maximum floor space ratio control of 6.9 : 1

To aid assessment of the above, a concept scheme has been prepared by Team2 and Urban Design Report prepared by RobertsDay which envisages a 20-floor Health Development of some

- 32,280 m² Gross Floor Area (GFA), including some 353m² of retail on the ground floor
- 4 basement floors with a total of 327 car parking spaces
- Ground level porte-cochere drop-off zone, including ambulance parking



Figure 2: Indicative Schematic Plan

The Planning Proposal also seeks to increase the pedestrian activation at the street level through the provision of a pedestrian crossing across Goulburn Street to provide a connection between the Proposal and the Liverpool Hospital.

It is envisaged that this infrastructure will facilitate the pedestrian accessibility and connectivity to the broader Liverpool Health Precinct on a street identified as a high pedestrian priority area in the *Liverpool Development Control Plan 2008* (LDCP).



Further to the above, a bridge between the proposed Private Hospital and Public Hospital is also envisaged at upper levels to connect operations between the two facilities. However, this connection is expected to be used by clinical staff only and not by the general public; which would use the at-grade pedestrian crossing referred above.

An impression of the pedestrian crossing prepared by RobertsDay is shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3: Pedestrian Connection across Goulburn Street

1.3 Consultation with Council

Liverpool City Council provided a Response to Submissions letter (ref: 072062.2022, dated 3 March 2022) to the project team in response to the first submission of the planning proposal. In that letter, a number of items were raised regarding the traffic assessment. These included:

- Assessment of the additional traffic generation from the consulting suites
- Additional traffic modelling scenario to investigate the proposal under an assumption that Campbell Street won't be closed between Goulburn Street and Forbes Street
- Swept path analysis for vehicles expected on site including 12.5m trucks

The project team met with Council traffic engineers on 02 May 2022 to discuss the aforementioned items. From the meeting, Council's traffic engineers recommended that:



- Additional information be provided regarding the consulting suites and clarity to be provided as to the
 proportion of these suites which would support the primary hospital function of the development, and the
 proportion of these suites which would be operated separately to the primary hospital function (hereafter
 referred to as speculative consulting suites).
 - To respond to this comment, updated traffic generation analysis has been undertaken to include the trips generated by the speculative consulting suites. Details are provided in Section 5.1.
- Council advised the existing Liverpool Public Hospital intended to close Campbell Street between Goulburn Street and Forbes Street in the next 2 years. As the proposed Private Hospital development is tentatively expected to be operational post closure of Campbell Street, Council advised that the scenario previously modelled (i.e. assuming the Campbell Street closure) was the worst-case scenario, and additional modelling scenarios (where the Campbell Street closure does not proceed) are not required.
 - The SIDRA analysis has continued to adopt assumptions identical to the previous TA submission as it relates to the Campbell Street closure and traffic distribution.
- Swept path analysis for 12.5m HRVs were previously included in the submitted TA. Council reviewed these paths and advised concerns regarding the HRV movement crossing the centreline during egress. Council advised that additional measures be recommended to address potential safety concerns if 12.5m HRVs were to be used. Team2 advised that largest design vehicle intended for the site is an 8.8m MRV.
 - The design assessment has been revised to consider swept paths for vehicles up to 8.8m MRVs, details are provided in Appendix A. The swept paths demonstrate that MRVs can enter and exit the site without crossing the centreline. It is however, noteworthy that any detailed design assessment queries can readily be addressed as part of the future Development Application (DA).

1.4 Key References

In preparing this TA, a series of key strategic, design and planning documents have informed the assessment of the traffic and transport related elements of the project. These documents include:

- Liverpool Local Environmental Plan 2008 (LLEP)
- Liverpool Development Control Plan 2008 (LDCP)

This TA also references general access, traffic and parking guidelines, including:

- Roads and Maritime Services, Guide to Traffic Generating Developments (RMS Guide)
- RMS Guide to Traffic Generating Developments: Updated Traffic Surveys (RMS TDT2013/04a).
- Australian Standard 2890.1:2004 Parking Facilities Off Street Car Parking (AS 2890.1:2004)
- Australian Standard 2890.2:2018 Parking Facilities Off Street Commercial Vehicle Facilities (AS 2890.2:2018)
- NSW Ambulance, Access Specifications for Hospitals and Other Buildings; and
- NSW Ambulance, Vehicle and Stretcher Dimensions;

Other traffic and transport studies referenced in the preparation of this TA include:

- GTA Consultants, Liverpool Health and Academic Precinct MSCP, State Significant Development Application – Transport and Accessibility Impact Assessment Issue C (LHAP Report)
- GTA Consultants, New Liverpool Public School, State Significant Development Application Transport and Accessibility Impact Assessment Issue D (Liverpool Public School Report)



2 Existing Conditions

2.1 Existing Land Use & Access

Under the LLEP, the Site is currently zoned *B4 – Mixed Use* and legally comprises:

- SP18729
- Lot 1 DP25642

- Lot 8 Section 41 DP758620

• Lot 20 DP1113807

Lot 1 DP610334

Lot 2 DP610334

Existing developments on the Site consist of low to medium density residential developments ranging from 1 – 4 floors of some 4,800 m² GFA which is below the existing FSR of 3.5:1 on the subject site. Other surrounding developments include the Liverpool Hospital to the east and the Bigge Park to the south. A narrow public laneway extends partway down the western site frontage.

Access to the existing residential developments are provided via 2-way vehicular crossovers to Goulburn Street.

2.2 Road Hierarchy

Figure 4 presents the road hierarchy in the vicinity of the Site, with a description of key roads in the locality provided below.





2.2.1 Goulburn Street

Goulburn Street is a local road fronting the eastern side of the Site that runs generally in a north-south direction.

It is a two-way road configured with a single lane in each direction, set within an approximately 12.5 metre carriageway. Within proximity of the Site, 1P parallel parking is permitted on both sides of the road.

Goulburn Street is signposted as a 30km/h high pedestrian activity area adjacent to the hospital and is a key north-south route through Liverpool, connecting — via a left-in, left-out intersection — with the Hume Highway to the north.

2.2.2 Elizabeth Street

Elizabeth Street is a generally a collector road aligned in an east-west direction to the south of the Site, to the east of Bigge Street it transitions to a local road. It provides a single lane of traffic in each direction within an undivided carriageway of width 12.5 metres.

In terms of signage parking controls, 1P parallel parking is permitted on both sides of the road. Within vicinity of the Site, Elizabeth Street is signposted as a 30 km/h high pedestrian area.

An at grade pedestrian (zebra) crossing is provided at the eastern leg of the Goulburn Street / Elizabeth Street intersection linking Liverpool Hospital to Bigge Park. A further pedestrian (zebra) crossing is provided on the Goulburn Street leg of this intersection.

2.2.3 Campbell Street

Campbell Street is a local road generally aligned in an east-west direction close to the northern boundary of the Site. It provides 1 lane of traffic in each direction within an undivided carriageway of width 13.0 m.

In terms of signage parking controls, 2P parallel parking and accessible parking is permitted on both sides of the road.

Within vicinity of the Site, Campbell Street is signposted as a 30 km/h High Pedestrian Activity Area at the eastern end with the provision of a School Zone in effect near Liverpool Girls High School.

A number of changes are planned within Campbell Street which are discussed further in Section 3.



2.3 Traffic Volumes

At the time of preparing this report, the NSW Government had imposed a state-wide lockdown order. As such, conducting traffic surveys would not be representative of typical traffic conditions.

For this reason, traffic turning volumes and the heavy vehicle (HV) percentages have been sourced from the *Liverpool Health and Academic Precinct MSCP, State Significant Development Application – Transport and Accessibility Impact Assessment Issue C* prepared by GTA Consultants in May 2020. The surveys were undertaken on 9 April 2019 and completed over the following peak hour periods:

- 7:00 10:00AM
- 4:00 7:00PM

During those peak time periods, the survey data revealed that the morning (AM) and evening (PM) peak hours on the road network occurred at the following times:

- 7:45 am to 8:45 am
- 4:00 pm to 5:00 pm

Figure 5 shows the turning movements at the key intersections during the observed peak hours.







2.4 Intersection Performance

Performance of the existing road network is largely dependent on the operating performance of key intersections, which are critical capacity control points on the road network. In this regard, the key criteria evaluating the performance is outlined as follows:

• **Degree of Saturation (DOS)** – The DOS is defined as the ratio of demand (arrival) flow to capacity. The DOS is used to measure the performance of intersections where a value of 1.0 represents an intersection at theoretical capacity, above 1.0 represent over-saturated conditions (demand flows exceed capacity) and degrees of saturation below 1.0 represent under-saturated conditions (demand flows are below capacity).

As the performance of an intersection approaches DOS of 1.0, queue lengths and delays increase rapidly. It is usual to attempt to keep DOS to less than 0.9, with satisfactory intersection operation generally achieved with a DOS below 0.8.

Average Vehicle Delay (AVD) – Delay represents the difference between interrupted and uninterrupted travel times through an intersection and is measured in seconds per vehicle. Delays include queued vehicles accelerating and decelerating from/to the intersection stop lines, as well as general delays to all vehicles travelling through the intersection.

The AVD (or average delay per vehicle in seconds) for intersections also provides a measure of the operational performance of an intersection and is used to determine an intersection's Level of Service (see below). For signalised intersections, the AVD reported relates to the average of all vehicle movements through the intersection. For priority (Give Way, Stop & Roundabout controlled) intersections, the AVD reported is that for the movement with the highest AVD.

• Level of Service (LOS) – This is a comparative measure that provides an indication of the operating performance, based on AVD. For signalised and roundabout intersections, LOS is based on the average delay to all vehicles, while at priority-controlled intersections LOS is based on the worst approach delay.

Having regard for the above, TfNSW provides the following criteria for the assessment of intersection performance as outlined in **Table 1**.

TABLE 1 – INTERSECTION ASSESSMENT CRITERIA				
Level of Service	Average Delay (sec)	Traffic Signals	Give way and stop sign	
Α	less than 14	Good operation	Good operation	
В	15 to 28	Good with acceptable delays & spare capacity	Acceptable delays & spare capacity	
С	29 to 42	Satisfactory	Satisfactory, but accident study required	
D	43 to 56	Operating near capacity	Near capacity & accident study required	
E	57 to 70	At capacity; at signals, incidents will cause excessive delays. Roundabouts require other control mode	At capacity, requires other control mode	
F	More than 70	Unsatisfactory and requires additional capacity.	Unsatisfactory and requires other control mode or major treatment	

TABLE 1 – INTERSECTION ASSESSMENT CRITERIA



Ason Group have analysed the performance of the existing surrounding road network using SIDRA Intersection, with the results presented in **Table 2**.

TABLE 2 – EXISTING INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE					
Intersection	Peak Hour	Delay (Sec)	Degree of Saturation (DOS)	Queue (m)	Level of Service (LOS)
Goulburn St / Campbell St	AM Peak	16	0.63	56	В
	PM Peak	15	0.33	24	А
Goulburn St / Elizabeth St	AM Peak	5	0.21	7	А
	PM Peak	5	0.32	10	A

With regard for the above, the critical intersections in the surrounding road network of relevance to this proposal are performing well with relatively minor average delays in the morning and evening peak hours.

2.5 Public Transport Network

The Site is well serviced by public transport infrastructure with the existing key rail and bus services within accessibility of the Site is detailed below and shown in **Figure 6**.

2.5.1 Railway Services

According to the *Integrated Public Transport Service Planning Guidelines, Sydney Metropolitan Area* December 2013 (IPT Guidelines), rail services influence the travel mode choices of areas within 800 metres (approximately 10 minutes walk) of a railway station.

Having regard for this, it is notable that Liverpool Railway Station is located at approximately 450 metres walking distance to the south of the Site via Elizabeth Street, Bigge Street, College Street, Moore Street.

Liverpool Railway Station is serviced by a plethora of train services including services to Sydney CBD, Parramatta, Bankstown, and other regional centres. Peak hour train services and frequencies are summarised in **Table 3**.



TABLE 3 - TRAIN FREQUENCIES AT LIVERPOOL RAILWAY STATION

T2 Leppington Inner West Line				
Station - Line	To City	From City	Total	
Morning Peak Hour (8:00 am – 9:00 am)	8	9	17	
Off Peak Hour	8	8	16	
Afternoon Peak Hour (5:00 pm – 6:00 pm)	8	8	16	
	T3 Bankstow	n Line to City		
Station - Line	To City	From City	Total	
Morning Peak Hour (8:00 am – 9:00 am)	3	3	6	
Off Peak Hour	2	2	4	
Afternoon Peak Hour (5:00 pm – 6:00 pm)	2	2	4	
T5 Leppington Richmond Line				
Station - Line	To Richmond	From Richmond	Total	
Morning Peak Hour (8:00 am – 9:00 am)	2	2	4	
Off Peak Hour	2	2	4	
Afternoon Peak Hour (5:00 pm – 6:00 pm)	2	2	4	





Figure 6: Existing Public and Active Transport Network

2.5.2 Bus Services

Having regard to the standard bus travel, the IPT Guidelines state that bus services influence the travel mode choices of sites within 400 metres (approximately 5 minutes' walk) of a bus stop.

The Site is well serviced by a wide selection of bus stops within 400 metres of the Site with the Liverpool Bus Interchange also located just outside the 400 metres to the south of the Site as shown in Figure 6. Bus services are operated by Transdev, Transit System and Interline Bus Services with the bus services and frequencies are summarised in Table 4.

Route	Description	Peak Hour Frequency
	Transit System Buses	
801	Badgerys Creek	2
802	Parramatta via Green Valley	2
804	Parramatta via Hitchenbrook	3
805	Cabramatta via Bonnyrigg	3
806	Parramatta via Pemulwuy	2
819	Prairewood	2
823	Warwick Farm	2
827	Carnes Hill	1
T80	T-way to Parramatta (Weekend Service)	2
	Interline Buses	
851	Carnes Hill via Cowpasture Road	1
852	Carnes Hill via Greenway Drive	1
853	Carnes Hill via Hoxton Park Road	1
854	Carnes Hill via Cowpasture Road	1
855	Rutleigh Park via Leppington Station	1
856	Bringelly	1
857	Narellan	1
865	Casula via Lurnea	2
866	Casula	3
869	Ingleburn via Edmundson Park	2
870	Campbelltown	1
871	Campbelltown	1
872	Campbelltown via Macquarie Fields	2
	Transdev Buses	
M90	Burwood	6
901	Holsworthy via Wattle Grove	1
902	Holsworthy via Moorebank	2
903	Chipping Norton	2
904	Fairfield	2
		and the second se



2.6 Surrounding Active Transport

2.6.1 Pedestrian Network

The Site is well serviced by a well-connected pedestrian network, with the key features outlined as follows:

- Covered footpaths averaging 3.4 metres wide along either side of the Goulburn Street and Elizabeth Street.
- Signalised pedestrian crossings at the Goulburn Street / Campbell Street intersection.
- Painted zebra crossing across Goulburn Street / Elizabeth Street intersection (East and North Legs).
- Refuge island provided at the southern end of Goulburn Street (at the painted zebra crossing referred above).

2.6.2 Cycle Network

There is a mixture of recreational routes, regional routes, sub-regional routes and local routes within the Liverpool LGA. As identified in the *Liverpool City Council Bike Plan* (Bike Plan), there are cycle routes which pass through the Liverpool Centre as shown in **Figure 6.** With regard for the Bike Plan, the key initiatives are as follows:

- Connecting the Liverpool city centre with surrounding suburban areas and suburban shopping centres.
- Future provision of an off-road bike path along Terminus Street.
- Linking off-road bike paths leading north towards Cabramatta or east towards Bankstown.

2.7 Travel Mode Share

2.7.1 Journey to Work

Journey-to-Work (JTW) data from the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) Census data of people travelling to work in the Liverpool Destination Zone 115 980 008 of which the Site forms a part of, has been analysed to ascertain the plausible travel behaviour of future workers inbound to the Site.

The location of the destination zone relative to the surrounding context is presented in **Figure 7** and the results of the travel mode summary is presented graphically in **Figure 8**.





Figure 7: Destination Zone 115 980 008



Figure 8: Modal Split – Workers to Destination Zone 115 980 008



As demonstrated in Figure 8, for workers travelling to the area, it can be seen that:

- Car or private vehicle is the most prevalent in the modal split, with 78.2% of workers (70.8% as driver and 7.4% as passenger) travelling by private vehicle.
- 16.1% of workers to the area travel by public transport.
- Train is the most popular mode of public transport, with 9.5% reporting that their main mode of travel was by train.
- Bus was reported to be used 6.7% of workers to the area.
- Walking represented 5.1%.

Based on the above data, it is evident that the private vehicle is a dominant mode of travel in Liverpool whether it is as a driver or a passenger.

However, with the available alternative travel modes including a range of bus and train services and strong active transport provision and future commitments, there is an opportunity to create a shift away from dependency on private vehicle usage which Liverpool City Council supports.



3 Strategic Context

3.1 Statutory Planning Framework

3.1.1 Liverpool LEP (Amendment No. 52)

The *Liverpool Local Environment Plan* (LLEP) 2008 (Amendment No.52) is the principal legal document for controlling development and guiding planning decisions within the Liverpool Council Local Government Area. It does this by including provisions for land use, building heights, floor space ratio (FSR) and design excellence.

This LLEP reduces the area of the Liverpool City Centre zoned as *B3* - *Commercial Core* and increases the area of Liverpool City Centre zoned as *B4* - *Mixed Use*, in order to attract a more diverse set of uses and support the revitalisation of the area.

The co-location of residential, commercial, retail, education, health services and amenity within the Liverpool city centre is outlined as a key opportunity to bring investment into the city.

Accordingly, the LLEP provides the following controls as outlined in Table 5.

TABLE 5 - LLEP 2008 CONTROLS			
Description LLEP Control			
Zoning	B4 – Mixed Use		
Height	35 m		
FSR	3.5 : 1		

3.1.2 Liverpool DCP

The *Liverpool Development Control Plan 2008* (LDCP) provides guidance which supports the implementation of the LLEP. Controls are provided to reinforce the desired outcomes for development in the City Centre.

Clause 4.1 of the LDCP identifies the Education and Medical precinct as being located on the eastern edge of the city centre.

The precinct is characterised by the South-Western Sydney Area Health Service (Liverpool Hospital) and attendant medical centres and clinics, the Sydney Southwest Private Hospital (40 Bigge Street, Liverpool), public and private schools.

Figure 9 presents an extract of the different precincts and character map to assist guidance for development in the Liverpool City Centre.





Figure 9: Liverpool City Centre Precincts



3.2 Liverpool Innovation Precinct

Liverpool Innovation Precinct (Innovation Precinct) is a report which was commissioned by The Liverpool Health, Education, Research and Innovation Precinct Committee in August 2017. Innovation Precinct sets out an aspirational vision for the future development of the Liverpool Health, Education, Research and Innovation Precinct.

This vision sees the city centre as a hub for innovation, highlighting its accessibility via transit and close proximity to the Liverpool Health Precinct. One of the key advantages when compared with other hospital locations, is the short walking travel path to the Liverpool City Centre to provide unparalleled accessibility to all the services and benefits associated within a major commercial hub.

The Innovation Precinct identifies health as a growth industry in Liverpool with opportunities to expand the hospital complex through the next stage development of the public hospital as well as the co-location of a Private Hospital. It is expected that the expansion will respond to the challenges of the increasing population growth rate that is double that of NSW.

3.3 Liverpool Local Strategic Planning Statement 2020

Liverpool Local Strategic Planning Statement 2020 otherwise known as *Connected Liverpool 2040* (Connected Liverpool) is a land use vision document prepared by Liverpool City Council in March 2020. It has been developed with the shared vision of the Council and the community to inform and guide land use planning for Liverpool.

Connected Liverpool acknowledges that the growing population is placing greater demands on the existing transport infrastructure, noting that many residents use cars as opposed to public transportation. Notwithstanding, Council are strong advocates of a modal shift to public transport and are lobbying for new and improved public transport services that match Liverpool's metropolitan cluster status.

Active transport modal options are also being improved as an alternative such as improved walkability to reduce dependency on private vehicles while encouraging greater social engagement and improving health.

One of the productivity planning priorities includes developing a world class health, education, research and innovation precinct. In this regard, the development of a Private Hospital within the Liverpool Innovation Precinct (see **Figure 10**) would reinforce Liverpool's position as a health leader and help it to develop a world-class health, education, research and innovation precinct.





Figure 10: Liverpool City Centre



3.4 Liverpool City Public Domain Master Plan

The *Liverpool City Centre Public Domain Master Plan* (Master Plan) was adopted in June 2020 and is Council's 10-year vision to guide the development of public space and pave the way to a greener, more vibrant and active city centre while fostering an 18-hour economy.

A cohesive approach to development in the Liverpool City Centre is provided with a useful set of standards for Council, private developers and local business. Developed following extensive consultation with the community, government agencies and businesses, the Master Plan provides a list of the public infrastructure to best support the vibrant economy of Liverpool as it continues to draw more residents, workers and visitors.

Wider footpaths, dedicated cycleways, more street trees and vegetation, public art, better furniture, pedestrian lighting and new paving materials are among the catalogue of improvements suggested in the Master Plan that will improve accessibility and amenity for all users.

The Master Plan identifies Goulburn Street as a corridor for streetscape improvements as part of the Liverpool Healthcare & Innovation Precinct redesign and upgrade. This includes street trees, new paving and kerbs, intersection improvements & other pedestrian priority infrastructure, and restorative & sensory plantings within the precinct.

3.5 Liverpool Bike Plan

The *Liverpool Bike Plan* (Bike Plan) was prepared by Liverpool City Council in 2017. It identifies the requirements for bicycle-related infrastructure, with the aim to encourage and promote bicycle use in the Liverpool Local Government Area (LGA). It has been compiled to guide and inform future developments of bicycle infrastructure and developments that impact bicycle usage, networks and activities.

Spanning across the LGA, the document outlines the present and expected future use of bicycles. It identifies challenges and opportunities for bicycle networks, and the existing and newly proposed cycleways, in their existing land and transportation contextual environment.

The strategic approach outlined by the document includes an action plan for all future bicycle related works and its implementation strategies. The plan aims to improve safety, connections and access for bicycle users.

3.6 Campbell Street Closure

It is understood that Campbell Street, between Forbes Street and Goulburn Street, will be closed to vehicular traffic as part of the Liverpool Health and Academic Precinct (LHAP) redevelopment.

According to the *Liverpool Health and Academic Precinct Main Works, State Significant Development Application – Transport and Accessibility Impact Assessment | Issue C* prepared by GTA Consultants in May 2020, an active frontage will be provided along this section of Campbell Street which will promote pedestrian links between the Education Research Hub and the new integrated services building. Having regard for this, it is considered that the Proposal could achieve a similar outcome in terms of the pedestrian activation to Goulburn Street with the proposed pedestrian connection.



4 Parking Provisions

Parking provision are a detailed matter for consideration during future Development Application submissions. Notwithstanding, a preliminary assessment has been undertaken to confirm the broad suitability of the concept plan and guide further design development should the development proceed.

4.1 Car Parking

4.1.1 Car Parking Rates

Car parking rates for Hospitals (private or public) are not provided within the *Liverpool Development Control Plan 2008* (LDCP).

In this regard, for the purposes of calculating car parking requirements for the Planning Proposal, reference is made to the *RMS Guide to Traffic Generating Development 2002* (RMS Guide) to determine the benchmark.

The RMS Guide contains car parking rate for private hospital developments, which is based on traffic surveys undertaken in 1994 at 19 private hospitals in the Sydney region. Based on this, the peak parking accumulation (PPA) at private hospital can be estimated as follows:

• PPA = -26.52 + 1.18B, where B is the number of beds

Note: This equation is applicable when the number of staff is unknown.

It is understood that upon opening, the Private Hospital will support 90 beds and at full capacity in the future will have 155 beds.

Moreover, it is considered that the hospital generally includes ancillary facilities for people that are admitted as in-patients to the hospital, including health consulting rooms, retail outlets and cafes etcetera.

With reference to the proposed scheme, much of these ancillary facilities are provided at the ground level. Given the ancillary nature of these facilities, such they would be visited by people as a secondary exercise to the hospital and therefore, it is not anticipated that significant additional car parking spaces demands would be generated by these uses.

It is noted, however, that 6 of the 11 levels of Consulting Suites are intended as speculative development, with the other 5 being ancillary to the primary operation of the hospital. Therefore, for a conservative assessment, 6 of the 11 levels of Consulting Suites are included for the purposes of parking requirements.

Additionally, some provision should be made for retail parking which has been referenced to Clause 5.7, Table 5.2 of the RMS Guide which provides the following rate:

• 0 – 10,000 Gross Leasable Floor Area (GLFA) m², 6.1 car spaces per 100 m² GLFA

Furthermore, compliance with the *Liverpool Local Environmental Plan 2008* (LEP) is considered. Clause 7.3 indicates the following parking rates:

• 1 space/200 m² ground floor GFA



- 1 space/100 m² retail GFA in any other part of the building for retail premises; and
- 1 space/150 m² other GFA in any other part of the building for any other purpose

Accordingly, the number of car parking spaces required, and calculated based on the maximum number of beds at full capacity according to the RMS Guide is presented in **Table 6.** Additionally, the number of car parking spaces required based on the Liverpool LEP is presented in **Table 7**

TABLE 6 – RMS GUIDE CAR PARKING REQUIREMENTS							
Land Use Yield Rate Requirement							
Private Hospital	155 beds	-26.52 + 1.18B, where B is the number of beds	156				
Retail	265 m ² GLFA ¹	6.1 car spaces per 100 m ² GLFA	16				
Consulting Suites	5,130 m ² GLFA ¹	9 car spaces per 1,000 m ² GLFA	46				
	219 ²						

Note: 1) Gross Leasable Floor Area (GLFA) = 75% of GFA as per RMS Guide Note: 1) Rounded up to nearest whole number

TABLE 7 – LIVERPOOL LEP CAR PARKING REQUIREMENTS							
Land Use Yield Rate Requirement							
Privata Haapital	2,900 m ² GFA	1 space/200 m ² ground floor GFA	15				
Private nospital	Private Hospital 29,380 m² GFA 1 space/150 m² non-ground floor GFA						
	211						

Therefore, 219 spaces are required based on the RMS Guide, and 211 spaces are required based on the LEP.

4.1.2 Car Parking Provisions

In response, the Proposal has an indicative provision of a total of 327 car parking across 3 basement levels, which suggests that it is more than capable of satisfying the car parking demand in accordance with both the RMS Guide and LEP.

4.1.3 Accessible Parking

Part 1, Table 12 of the LDCP provides Accessible Car Parking rates for car parking areas exceeding 20 spaces.

With relevance to the Proposal, where the land use would be classified under Health, the Accessible Car Parking rate is reproduced with the corresponding requirement based on the provision shown on the current scheme below.



TABLE 8 – ACCESSIBLE PARKING PROVISIONS							
Land Use Rate Requirement							
Health	Health 3 per 100 spaces 8 ¹						

Note: 1) based on the minimum number of car spaces as per LEP. 10 spaces required if based on actual provision

With reference to the above table, the minimum number of accessible parking spaces is 8 based on the minimum car parking provision as per LEP (10 spaces if based on actual provision). The proposed scheme will provide accessible parking to meet the minimum required provisions, located adjacent to the lift cores.

4.2 Bicycle Parking

As a means to encourage alternative transport options, the LDCP provides bicycle parking rates under Table 13 of Part 1 which are outlined in **Table 9**.

TABLE 9 – BICYCLE PARKING PROVISIONS						
Land Use Resident / Staff Visitor / Customer						
Medical Centre and Health Consulting Rooms	1 per 10 staff	2 per centre, plus 1 for every 5 th consulting room				

The Soci-economic Impact Assessment report prepared separately by Hill PDA indicates a maximum of 400-500 workers on site at a given time. Based on the LDCP, the required number of bicycle spaces is 69-79. The Proposal will provide bicycle parking to meet this requirement.

The LDCP notes that bicycle parking facilities for residents and staff should be located on the ground floor, or first basement level close to the entry/exit points to ensure they are secure and readily accessible by staff and tenants.

Furthermore, this active travel infrastructure will be highlighted as part of any future travel demand initiatives such as a Green Travel Plan (GTP).

4.3 Motorcycle Parking

Part 4, Clause 4.4.2 of the LDCP provides the following Motorcycle Parking rates which is outlined along with the corresponding requirement in **Table 10**.

TABLE 10 - MOTORCYCLE PARKING PROVISIONS						
Land Use Rate Requirement						
All Developments	All Developments 1 per 20 car spaces 13 ¹					

Note: 1) based on the minimum number of car spaces as per LEP. 17 spaces required if based on actual provision



4.4 Servicing

Part 1, Table 11 of the LDCP provides Service and Loading requirements for hospital developments as follows:

- Service facilities for a Heavy Rigid Vehicle (HRV);
- Facilities are designed for waste collection

Detailed design of any on-site loading areas shall be scope for future development applications. Notwithstanding, a loading area in envisaged for Basement 1.

Ason Group attended a meeting with Council on 2 May 2022 who raised concerns about the HRV swept path crossing the centreline during egress. Council recommended the Applicant consider additional management measures to address safety concerns regarding HRV movements or consider a smaller design vehicle. Team2, in the meeting, confirmed that the largest design vehicle intended for the site is an MRV. Swept path assessment has been prepared for 8.8m MRVs on the basis that this is the largest expected design vehicle.



5 Operational Traffic Analysis

5.1 Traffic Generation

Traffic generation arising from the Proposal has been estimated based on the RMS Guide. In this regard, the RMS Guide details the Peak Vehicle Trips (PVT) of private hospitals can be determined when the number of beds is known.

Vehicle trip generation for the morning commuter peak hour, evening commuter peak hour is outlined in the RMS Guide, however, for conservativeness the PVT equation has been adopted for the assessment. In this capacity, the PVT equation is outlined as follows:

• PVT = -22.07 + 1.04B, where B is the number of beds

As noted in Section 4.1, it is understood that there are 90 beds upon opening and 155 beds when the hospital Proposal eventually operates at full capacity.

Additionally, traffic generation for the speculative consulting suites has been determined on a first principles basis. The following assumptions have been adopted for this calculation, based on consultation with the project team, with the more conservative parameters adopted where relevant:

- Number of speculative consulting suites = 132 (22 rooms x 6 levels)
- Average consultation time = 30 min (based on average 30-45min range, provided by Team 2)
- Average room utilisation = 50% (based on average 30-50% utilisation, provided by Team 2)
- Scale operation based on number of beds on opening (i.e. assume 58% of maximum operation upon opening¹, and 100% of maximum operation when Hospital is at full capacity)

For the purposes of the assessment, and noting it is an ambitious target at the current Pre-Gateway Planning Proposal stage, it has been assumed that 2023 will be the opening year. In turn, the 10-year horizon in 2033, will be at such a time when the Proposal has 155 bed capacity.

Accordingly, the traffic generation of the Proposal at each of the two assessment years (2023 and 2033) is presented in **Table 11**.

It should be noted that the ground floor retail uses are considered largely ancillary to the precinct and not an attractor of vehicular traffic to the precinct in its own right.

TABLE 11 – PROPOSAL DEVELOPMENT TRAFFIC GENERATION						
Year No. of Beds Trip Generation						
2023	90	225				
2033	155	403				



¹ 90 beds out of 155 capacity = 58%

5.2 Development Traffic Distribution

The traffic generation of the Proposal has been distributed to the surrounding road network for assessment with consideration to the following influencing traffic influencing factors:

- Probable distribution of staff and patient/visitor residences in relation to the Site when referencing relevant JTW data.
- Existing traffic flow patterns at the key intersections.
- Closure of Campbell Street (between Forbes Street and Goulburn Street) and the likely re-routing of traffic to the broader road network. During a meeting with Council on 2 May 2022, Council Traffic Engineers advised that as the proposed development is likely to be operational post-closure of Campbell Street, a SIDRA assessment under the scenario whereby Campbell Street is closed is considered the worst-case scenario. Hence, a sensitivity test with Campbell Street open (as it currently is) is not required.

Figure 11 and Figure 12 present the assumed traffic generation of the Proposal distributed to the road network.



Figure 11: 2023 Opening Year Development Traffic Distribution







Figure 12: 2033 Horizon Year Development Traffic Distribution

5.3 Surrounding Developments

Given the Liverpool City Centre is currently undergoing significant redevelopment, with several projects in the vicinity having been approved, it is necessary to appropriately consider the traffic generated by those developments for a thorough assessment.

A review of the New Liverpool Public School – Transport and Accessibility Assessment and Liverpool Health and Academic Precinct Transport and Accessibility Assessment both prepared by GTA Consultants was undertaken to extract the additional traffic volumes redistributed to the surrounding road network, including consideration of the Campbell Street closure, as presented below.



AM Peak

PM Peak



Figure 13: 2023 Surrounding Other Development Traffic Distribution







5.4 Cumulative Traffic

Based on the above, the cumulative traffic on the surrounding road network is presented below.

AM Peak

PM Peak



Figure 15: 2023 Cumulative Traffic



Figure 16: 2033 Cumulative Traffic



5.5 Traffic Impact

Having consideration for the above cumulative traffic increases to the road network, an assessment of the key intersections were undertaken using SIDRA Intersection to review the projected traffic impacts.

5.5.1 Base Case Performance

Table 12 presents the performance for the 2023 year – Future Base Case without the traffic generated by the Proposal, but it does consider other development traffic from the surrounding area.

TABLE 12 – 2023 INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE WITHOUT DEVELOPMENT

Intersection	Peak Hour	Delay (Sec)	Degree of Saturation (DOS)	Queue (m)	Level of Service (LOS)
	AM Peak	14	0.14	20	А
Goulburn St x Campbell St	PM Peak	12	0.12	16	А
Goulburn St x Elizabeth St	AM Peak	5	0.25	8	А
	PM Peak	5	0.37	12	А

Table 13 presents the performance for the 2033 year – Horizon Base Case without the traffic generated by the Proposal, but it does consider other development traffic from the surrounding area.

TABLE 13 – 2033 INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE WITHOUT DEVELOPMENT

Intersection	Peak Hour	Delay (Sec)	Degree of Saturation (DOS)	Queue (m)	Level of Service (LOS)
Goulburn St x Campbell St	AM Peak	12	0.17	24	A
	PM Peak	10	0.15	18	А
Goulburn St x Elizabeth St	AM Peak	8	0.27	8	А
	PM Peak	14	0.38	5	А

5.5.2 Project Case Performance

Table 14 presents the performance for the 2023 year – Future Project Case with the traffic generated by the Proposal, as well as other development traffic from the surrounding area.



Intersection	Peak Hour	Delay (Sec)	Degree of Saturation (DOS)	Queue (m)	Level of Service (LOS)		
Goulburn St x Campbell St	AM Peak	14	0.14	20	А		
	PM Peak	12	0.12	16	А		
Goulburn St x Elizabeth St	AM Peak	5	0.25	8	А		
	PM Peak	5	0.37	14	А		

TABLE 14 – 2023 INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE WITH DEVELOPMENT

Table 15 presents the performance for the 2033 year – Horizon Project Case with the traffic generated by the Proposal, as well as other development traffic from the surrounding area.

TABLE 15 – 2033 INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE WITH DEVELOPMENT

Intersection	Peak Hour	Delay (Sec)	Degree of Saturation (DOS)	Queue (m)	Level of Service (LOS)
Goulburn St x Campbell St	AM Peak	11	0.31	48	А
	PM Peak	8	0.26	38	А
Goulburn St x Elizabeth St	AM Peak	6	0.29	9	А
	PM Peak	6	0.46	21	А

5.5.3 Impact Summary

Having regard for the preceding tables, the surrounding road network is anticipated to continue to perform well with a LOS A reported in all scenarios and peak hour periods, including with the development traffic at opening and following a 10-year horizon.

Notably, the changes in performance due to the increase in traffic from the development are relatively minor and is therefore not expected to result in material impacts to the adjacent road network.

5.6 Vehicle Access

Goulburn Serviceway (the narrow laneway to the north west of the Site) is narrow and therefore not ideal for access to the subject site which will require frequent access by commercial vehicles, particularly ambulances and patient transport vehicles.

As such, vehicular access is proposed to be via the eastern frontage to Goulburn Street via 3 separate access points as follows:

- Access 1: Main access to the basement car park and on-site service area.
- Access 2: Provides access to the porte-cochere along the main frontage of the Site for passenger dropoff/pick-up activities and ambulance / patient transfer movements.


• Egress 3: Provides egress from the porte-cochere along the main frontage of the Site.

Figure 17 shows the proposed access location from Goulburn Street.

Figure 17: Proposed Vehicle Access Location

5.7 Pedestrian Access and Amenity

Clause 4.3 of the LDCP includes provisions that are intended to achieve a high standard of public domain design and pedestrian comfort in city centre public spaces. Lanes, arcades, and through site links are to form an integrated pedestrian network by providing a choice of routes at ground level for pedestrians.

In this regard, the following objectives are relevant to an enhanced pedestrian network:

- To develop future through-site links at ground level
- To ensure active street frontages
- To ensure a positive relationship between the building and the public domain
- To create clear and direct throughways for pedestrians

Similarly, Clause 4.3.3 of the LDCP details Active Street Frontages as promoting an interesting and safe pedestrian environment. The following objectives are relevant to Active Street Frontages:

- Promote pedestrian activity and safety in the public domain
- Maximise active street frontages in Liverpool City Centre.



Having regard for the above, the Proposal seeks to provide for a new at-grade pedestrian (zebra) crossing to the Liverpool Hospital, which is to be upgraded with a new entrance in proximity of the site.

Additionally, a bridge link between the existing Public Hospital and the proposed Private Hospital is proposed on Level 1. This will facilitate internal pedestrian movements between the hospitals, assisting in further minimising pedestrian/ vehicle interaction and reducing pedestrian travel distance between the two developments.



6 Design Commentary

6.1 Design Standards

Site access, car park and loading areas would be designed to comply with the following relevant Australian Standards, NSW Ambulance and Council Controls:

- Australian Standard, AS2890.1:2004 Part 1: Off-Street Car Parking;
- Australian Standard, AS2890.2:2018 Part 2: Off-Street Commercial Vehicle Facilities;
- Australian Standard, AS2890.1:2009 Part 6: Off-Street Parking for People with Disabilities;
- Liverpool City Council, Liverpool Development Control Plan 2008;
- Transport for NSW, Roads and Maritime Supplement to Austroads Guide Version 3.1 2017;
- NSW Ambulance, Access Specifications for Hospitals and Other Buildings; and
- NSW Ambulance, Vehicle and Stretcher Dimensions;

Compliance with the above Standards would be expected to form a standard condition of consent to at the Development Application approval stage.

6.2 Design Vehicles

Having regard for the operational requirements of the hospital, the following vehicles have been considered for the swept path analysis, noting that the 8.8m MRV is the largest intended design vehicle:

- 8.8m Medium Rigid Vehicle (MRV);
- B99 Vehicle;
- B85 Vehicle

Swept path analysis of the Team2 concept plans has been undertaken with the abovementioned vehicles and associated design commentary is provided in **Appendix A**.



7 Summary and Recommendations

Ason Group has been commissioned by Sacco Building Group Pty Ltd to prepare a Transport Assessment (TA) supporting a Pre-Gateway Planning Proposal of a New Private Health Care Development at 61-71 Goulburn Street, Liverpool.

This Planning Proposal seeks Pre-Gateway approval for a Private Health Care Development and increase in permitted building height from 35 metres to 79 metres and FSR from 3.5 : 1 to 6.9 : 1.

7.1 Key Findings

In summary, the key findings are as follows:

- Strategically, the Site is co-located directly adjacent to the Liverpool Health and Academic Precinct within the Innovation Precinct with strong links to existing sustainable transport infrastructure.
- Sustainable Transport Infrastructure includes the Liverpool Railway Station and Bus Interchange providing numerous services to key regional centres and throughout Liverpool. Existing Active Transport Infrastructure within accessibility of the Site consists of pedestrian footpaths, signalised, painted pedestrian crossings and cycleways.
- Council have suggested upgrades to the pedestrian infrastructure and connections to missing cycle links as part of broader strategies including the Liverpool Public Domain Master Plan.
- Of relevance, the Planning Proposal proposes an at-grade pedestrian crossing to activate the Goulburn Street frontage and provide a connection to the adjacent Liverpool Public Hospital.
- SIDRA Analysis of the key intersections indicate that the surrounding road network is currently performing with good Levels of Service and only moderate average delays.
- Application of the RMS Guide Traffic Generation rates for private hospitals and a first principles
 assessment of the trip generation of the speculative consulting suites suggests the Proposal will
 generate:
 - 225 veh/h during the peak hours upon opening, and
 - 403 veh/h at full capacity in the future.
- Distribution and analysis of the surrounding road network further to the addition of the above traffic generation has been undertaken with further SIDRA analysis confirming the key intersections will continue to perform well, with no adverse impacts on the surrounding road network.
- In terms of car parking, the Proposal provides a total of 327 car parking spaces which is in accordance with the minimum parking requirement of 219 car parking spaces with reference to the RMS Guide or 211 spaces with reference to the LEP.

7.2 Conclusions

On the basis of the above, the Planning Proposal is considered supportable on transport planning grounds and is not expected to result in any adverse impacts on the surrounding transport network.



The increased density sought on the subject site achieves broad goals of increased density in close proximity to a range of public transport and services, thus minimising traffic impacts.



Appendix A. Swept Path Assessment



Appendix B. SIDRA Movement Summaries





L L M					
	GENERAL NOTES	DESIGNED	PAPER SIZE	CLIENT	DOCUMENT INFORM
	This drawing is provided for information purposes only and should not be used for construction.	Jensen Wu	A3	SACCO BUILDING GROUP PTY LTD	
SOEVE T OF IT S ANY L	GF base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP110, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022		DATE		PLANNING PROPOSAL
WHAT	B1 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP109, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	APPROVED BY	DATE	PROJECT	
	B2 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP108, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	XXXX	11.05.2022	1362	GROUND LEVEL DESIGN REVIEW
RESPO THIS PI THERE	B3 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP107, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	SCALE		1002	FILE NAME
TS NO TON OF - ASON	B4 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP106, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	1:400	0 <u>4</u> 8	61-71 GOULBURN STREET. LIVERPOOL	401200 00 - 01 -ture
	Goulburn Street has a posted speed limit of 30 km/h. Swept path assessments completed at 10 km/h and 300mm clearance.	1.400		01-11 GOULDURIN STREET, LIVERFOOL	AG1362-02-v04.dwg
DISC PIC					

PLOT DATE: 11/05/2022 5:44:43 PM | CAD REFERENCE: C:\Users\Jensen Wu\Ason Group\Ason Group Team Site - 1362/Projects\Design Advice(CAD)4G1362-02-v04.dwg | Jensen Wu



5 🔊					
WHATSC	GENERAL NOTES	DESIGNED	PAPER SIZE	CLIENT	DOCUMENT INFORM
	This drawing is provided for information purposes only and should not be used for construction.	Jensen Wu	A3	SACCO BUILDING GROUP PTY LTD	PLANNING PROPOSAL
SANY	GF base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP110, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	APPROVED BY	DATE		
SOLAIM	B1 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP109, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	APPROVED BY	DATE	PROJECT	
FORE DIS	B2 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP108, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	XXXX	11.05.2022	1362	BASEMENT 1 DESIGN REVIEW
THERE	B3 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP107, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	SCALE		1002	FILE NAME
- ASON	B4 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP106, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	1:400	0 <u>4</u> 8	61-71 GOULBURN STREET, LIVERPOOL	AG1362-02-v04.dwg
AMER	Goulburn Street has a posted speed limit of 30 km/h. Swept path assessments completed at 10 km/h and 300mm clearance.	1:400		61-71 GOULBURN STREET, LIVERPOOL	AG1362-02-004.dwg

PLOT DATE: 11/05/2022 5:44:45 PM | CAD REFERENCE: C.\Users\Jensen Wu/Ason Group)Ason Group Team Site - 1362/Projects\Design Advice(CAD/AG1362-02-v04.dwg | Jensen Wu |

RMATION



Suite 17.02, Level 17, 1 Castlereagh St Sydney NSW 2000 info@asongroup.com.au

SHEET AG02



'WHATSO	I GENERAL NOTES	DESIGNED	PAPER SIZE	CLIENT	DOCUMENT INFORM
UMBILITY	This drawing is provided for information purposes only and should not be used for construction.	Jensen Wu	A3	SACCO BUILDING GROUP PTY LTD	PLANNING PROPOSAL
MS ANY	GF base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP110, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	APPROVED BY	DATE	PROJECT	
	B1 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP109, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022 B2 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP108, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	xxxx	11.05.2022		BASEMENT 2 DESIGN REVIEW
	B3 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP107, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	SCALE		1362	FILE NAME
	B4 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP106, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	1:400	0 <u>4</u> 8	61-71 GOULBURN STREET. LIVERPOOL	AG1362-02-v04.dwg
SOLAMER	Goulburn Street has a posted speed limit of 30 km/h. Swept path assessments completed at 10 km/h and 300mm clearance.	1.400			AG1302-02-004.0Wg
Ж.					

ASON REPR DISC PLOT DATE: 11/05/2022 5:44:50 PM | CAD REFERENCE: C:\Users\Jensen Wu\Ason Group\Ason Group Team Site - 1362\Projects\Design Advice\CAD\AG1362-02-v04.dwg | Jensen Wu



Suite 17.02, Level 17, 1 Castlereagh St Sydney NSW 2000 info@asongroup.com.au

AG03

SHEET



	GENERAL NOTES	DESIGNED	PAPER SIZE	CLIENT	DOCUMENT INFORM/
	This drawing is provided for information purposes only and should not be used for construction.	Jensen Wu	A3	SACCO BUILDING GROUP PTY LTD	
	GF base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP110, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	APPROVED BY	DATE		PLANNING PROPOSAL
SOLAIN	B1 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP109, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	AFFROVED BI		PROJECT	
FORE DE	B2 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP108, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	XXXX	11.05.2022	1362	BASEMENT 3 DESIGN REVIEW
	B3 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP107, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	SCALE			FILE NAME
	B4 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP106, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	1:400	0 4 8	61-71 GOULBURN STREET. LIVERPOOL	AG1362-02-v04.dwg
2. AME	Goulburn Street has a posted speed limit of 30 km/h. Swept path assessments completed at 10 km/h and 300mm clearance.	1.400			AG 1302-02-004.dwg
1 위					

ASON REPR DISC PLOT DATE: 11/05/2022 5:44:55 PM | CAD REFERENCE: C\Users\Jensen Wu\Ason Group\Ason Group Team Site - 1362\Projects\Design Advice\CAD\AG1362-02-v04.dwg | Jensen Wu





asongroup

Suite 17.02, Level 17, 1 Castlereagh St Sydney NSW 2000 info@asongroup.com.au

AG04



HE USE O UT THIS N	GENERAL NUTES	DESIGNED	PAPER SIZE	CLIENT	DOCUMENT INFORMA
ER FOR T T WITHO	This drawing is provided for information purposes only and should not be used for construction.	Jensen Wu	A3	SACCO BUILDING GROUP PTY LTD	
	GF base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP110, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	APPROVED BY	DATE	PROJECT	PLANNING PROPOSAL
	B1 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP109, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	xxxx	11.05.2022	I ROSECT	BASEMENT 4 DESIGN REVIEW
	B2 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP108, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022		11.03.2022	1362	
	B3 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP107, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022 B4 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP106, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022	SCALE	0 4 8		FILE NAME
	Goulburn Street has a posted speed limit of 30 km/h. Swept path assessments completed at 10 km/h and 300mm clearance.	1:400		61-71 GOULBURN STREET, LIVERPOOL	AG1362-02-v04.dwg
ASON. REPRO	Doublin Street has a posted speed limit of 50 km/h. Swept pair assessments completed at 10 km/h and 500mm clearance.	1		1	L

PLOT DATE: 11/05/2022 5:45:00 PM | CAD REFERENCE: C\Users\Jensen Wu\Ason Group\Ason Group Team Site - 1362\Projects\Design Advice\CAD\AG1362-02-v04.dwg | Jensen Wu

RMATION



Suite 17.02, Level 17, 1 Castlereagh St Sydney NSW 2000 info@asongroup.com.au

SHEET AG05



PLOT DATE: 11/05/2022 5:45:05 PM | CAD REFERENCE: C\Users\Jensen Wu\Ason Group\Ason Group\Zeam Site - 1362\Projects\Design Advice\CAD\AG1362-02-v04.dwg | Jensen Wu |



PLOT DATE: 11/05/2022 5:45:10 PM | CAD REFERENCE: C\Users\Jensen Wu\Ason Group\Ason Group\Ason Group Team Site - 1362\Projects\Design Advice\CAD\AG1362-02-v04.dwg | Jensen Wu



PLOT DATE: 11/05/2022 5-45:15 PM | CAD REFERENCE: C:\Usersi-Jensen Wu/Ason Group/Ason Group/Ason Group Team Site - 1362/Projects/Design Advice/CAD/AG1362-02-v04.dwg | Jensen Wu |



PLOT DATE: 11/05/2022 5:45:20 PM | CAD REFERENCE: C:\Users\Jensen Wu\Ason Group\Ason Group\Ason Group Team Site - 1362\Projects\Design Advice\CAD\AG1362-02-v04.dwg | Jensen Wu |

Team Site - 1362|Projects)Design Advice)CADIAG1362-02-v04.dwg | Jensen Wu |



PLOT DATE: 11/05/2022 5:45:25 PM | CAD REFERENCE: C: Usersi Jensen Wu/Ason Group/Ason Group Team Site - 1362/Projects/Design Advice/CAD/AG1362-02-v04.dwg | Jensen Wu |



PLOT DATE: 11/05/2022 5:45:30 PM | CAD REFERENCE: C: Usersi Jensen WulAson Group/Ason Group Team Site - 1362/Projects/Design Advice/CAD/AG1362-02-v04.dwg | Jensen Wu |



B4 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP106, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022 Goulburn Street has a posted speed limit of 30 km/h. Swept path assessments completed at 10 km/h and 300mm clearance. XXXX 11.05.2022 BASEMENT 2 SWEPT PATH ASSESSMENT 1362 SCALE FILE NAME 2.5 0 -5 1:250 61-71 GOULBURN STREET, LIVERPOOL AG1362-02-v04.dwg

PLOT DATE: 11/05/2022 5:45:35 PM | CAD REFERENCE: C:\Users\Jensen Wu\Ason Group\Ason Group Team Site - 1362\Project

SHEET AG12

Suite 17.02, Level 17, 1 Castlereagh St Sydney NSW 2000 info@asongroup.com.au



Goulburn Street has a posted speed limit of 30 km/h. Swept path assessments completed at 10 km/h and 300mm clearance.

PLOT DATE: 11/05/2022 5:45:40 PM | CAD REFERENCE: C:(Users)Jensen Wu/Ason Group/Ason Group Team Site - 1362(Projects)Design Advice(CAD)AG1362-02-v04.dwg | Jensen Wu

AG13

info@asongroup.com.au



PLOT DATE: 11/05/2022 5:45:45 PM | CAD REFERENCE: C:\Users\Jensen Wu\Ason Group\Ason Group Team Site - 1362\Projects\Design Advice\CAD\AG1362-02-v04.dwg | Jensen Wu



Goulburn Street has a posted speed limit of 30 km/h. Swept path assessments completed at 10 km/h and 300mm clearance. PLOT DATE: 11/05/2022 5:45:50 PM | CAD REFERENCE: C:(Users)Jensen Wu/Ason Group)Ason Group Team Site - 1362(Projects)Design Advice)CAD/AG1362-02-v04.dwg | Jensen Wu

B4 base plan: Project 885, Drawing PP106, Rev E, prepared by TEAM2, received 05.06.2022

SCALE 2.5 0 -5 1:250 61-71 GOULBURN STREET, LIVERPOOL

AG1362-02-v04.dwg

SHEET AG15

Sydney NSW 2000

info@asongroup.com.au

Appendix B. SIDRA Movement Summaries



USER REPORT FOR SITE

All Movement Classes

Project: P1362 - New Health Care Development_v3

Template: Movement Summary

Site: 3204 [Goulburn St_Campbell St_AM (Site Folder: Existing Conditions)]

AM Peak: 07:45-08:45 Site Category: Existing Design Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time = 65 seconds (Site User-Given Phase Times)

Timings based on settings in the Site Phasing & Timing dialog Phase Times specified by the user Phase Sequence: Opposed Turns Reference Phase: Phase A Input Phase Sequence: A, B Output Phase Sequence: A, B

Vehi	cle M	ovemen	t Perfo	rmance										
Mov ID	Turn	INP VOLU [Total veh/h		DEM/ FLO ^v [Total veh/h		Deg. Satn v/c		Level of Service	95% BA QUE [Veh. veh		Prop. E Que	ffective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
Sout	h: Gou	Iburn Stre		VEH/H	70	v/C	360	_	ven	111	_	_	_	KI11/11
1	L2	37	2.7	39	2.7	0.142	18.2	LOS B	1.9	13.6	0.73	0.61	0.73	22.0
2	T1	93	1.1	98	1.1	0.625	18.9	LOS B	7.9	55.6	0.82	0.71	0.83	22.5
3	R2	219	0.0	231	0.0	*0.625	23.1	LOS B	7.9	55.6	0.90	0.81	0.91	20.1
Appr	oach	349	0.6	367	0.6	0.625	21.5	LOS B	7.9	55.6	0.86	0.76	0.87	21.0
East:	Camp	bell Stree	et (E)											
4	L2	84	13.1	88	13.1	0.119	13.4	LOS A	1.6	12.8	0.61	0.61	0.61	23.6
5	T1	89	4.5	94	4.5	0.151	11.0	LOS A	2.1	15.3	0.61	0.52	0.61	21.0
6	R2	19	5.3	20	5.3	0.151	13.0	LOS A	2.1	15.3	0.61	0.52	0.61	24.3
Appr	oach	192	8.3	202	8.3	0.151	12.2	LOS A	2.1	15.3	0.61	0.56	0.61	22.7
North	n: Gou	lburn Stre	et (N)											
7	L2	32	0.0	34	0.0	0.060	19.2	LOS B	0.8	5.3	0.73	0.63	0.73	21.3
8	T1	81	4.9	85	4.9	0.215	16.8	LOS B	2.7	19.8	0.75	0.63	0.75	23.3
9	R2	30	6.7	32	6.7	0.215	18.9	LOS B	2.7	19.8	0.75	0.63	0.75	21.8
Appr	oach	143	4.2	151	4.2	0.215	17.8	LOS B	2.7	19.8	0.75	0.63	0.75	22.6
West	: Cam	pbell Stre	et (W)											
10	L2	41	2.4	43	2.4	0.071	12.4	LOS A	1.0	7.4	0.58	0.55	0.58	24.1
11	T1	261	0.0	275	0.0	*0.335	11.4	LOS A	5.6	39.3	0.65	0.57	0.65	20.8
12	R2	23	4.3	24	4.3	0.335	13.5	LOS A	5.6	39.3	0.66	0.57	0.66	24.2
Appr	oach	325	0.6	342	0.6	0.335	11.7	LOS A	5.6	39.3	0.65	0.57	0.65	21.7
All Vehic	les	1009	2.6	1062	2.6	0.625	16.0	LOS B	7.9	55.6	0.73	0.64	0.73	21.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

Site: 3204 [Goulburn St_Campbell St_PM (Site Folder: Existing Conditions)]

PM Peak: 16:00-17:00 Site Category: Existing Design Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time = 65 seconds (Site User-Given Phase Times)

Timings based on settings in the Site Phasing & Timing dialog Phase Times specified by the user Phase Sequence: Opposed Turns Reference Phase: Phase A Input Phase Sequence: A, B Output Phase Sequence: A, B

Vehicle Movement Performance														
Mov ID	Turn	INP VOLU [Total veh/h		DEMA FLO [Total veh/h		Deg. Satn v/c		Level of Service	95% BA QUE [Veh. veh		Prop. E Que	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
Sout	h: Gou	Iburn Stre	eet (S)											
1 2 3	L2 T1 R2	20 76 58	0.0 3.9 0.0	21 80 61	0.0 3.9 0.0	0.074 * 0.326 0.326	22.5 21.5 23.7	LOS B LOS B LOS B	0.8 3.4 3.4	5.8 24.4 24.4	0.80 0.84 0.85	0.63 0.70 0.71	0.80 0.84 0.85	20.4 21.8 20.2
Appr	oach	154	1.9	162	1.9	0.326	22.5	LOS B	3.4	24.4	0.84	0.69	0.84	21.1
East:	Cam	obell Stree	et (E)											
4 5 6 Appr	L2 T1 R2	177 128 22 327	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	186 135 23 344	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	* 0.195 0.159 0.159 0.159	10.8 7.6 9.7 9.5	LOS A LOS A LOS A LOS A	3.1 2.4 2.4 3.1	22.0 17.1 17.1 22.0	0.56 0.52 0.52 0.54	0.61 0.45 0.45 0.54	0.56 0.52 0.52 0.54	24.7 23.1 26.0 24.3
		lburn Stre			0.0	01100	0.0		••••		0101	0.0.	0.01	2
7 8 9	L2 T1 R2	12 93 28	0.0 0.0 7.1	13 98 29	0.0 0.0 7.1	0.054 0.269 0.269	22.4 21.1 23.2	LOS B LOS B	0.6 3.0 3.0	4.3 21.3 21.3	0.79 0.83 0.83	0.61 0.67 0.68	0.79 0.83 0.83	20.6 22.1 20.3
Appr		133 pbell Stre	1.5	140	1.5	0.269	21.7	LOS B	3.0	21.3	0.83	0.67	0.83	21.6
	L2	•	. ,	05	0.0	0.000	10.0		0.4	2.0	0.50	0.52	0.50	25.0
10 11	L2 T1	24 61	8.3 1.6	25 64	8.3 1.6	0.028 0.109	10.0 8.5	LOS A LOS A	0.4 1.4	2.9 10.2	0.50 0.53	0.53 0.47	0.50 0.53	25.0 22.3
12	R2	24	0.0	25	0.0	0.109	10.5	LOS A	1.4	10.2	0.53	0.47	0.53	25.4
Appr	oach	109	2.7	115	2.7	0.109	9.2	LOS A	1.4	10.2	0.53	0.48	0.53	23.9
All Vehic	cles	723	1.1	761	1.1	0.326	14.5	LOS A	3.4	24.4	0.66	0.59	0.66	22.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

V Site: 2 [Goulburn St_Elizabeth St_AM (Site Folder: Existing Conditions)]

AM Peak: 07:45-08:45 Site Category: Existing Design Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	cle M	ovemen	t Perfo	rmance										
Mov ID	Turn	INP VOLU [Total veh/h		DEM, FLO [Total veh/h		Deg. Satn v/c		Level of Service		ACK OF EUE Dist] m	Prop. E Que	ffective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
East:	Elizal	oeth Stree	et (E)											
5 6 Appre	T1 R2 oach	71 192 263	8.5 2.1 3.8	75 202 277	8.5 2.1 3.8	0.191 0.191 0.191	1.2 3.4 2.8	LOS A LOS A NA	1.0 1.0 1.0	7.2 7.2 7.2	0.43 0.43 0.43	0.37 0.37 0.37	0.43 0.43 0.43	26.2 28.4 28.0
North	n: Gou	lburn Stre	et (N)											
7 9 Appre	L2 R2 oach	110 91 201	11.8 3.3 8.0	116 96 212	11.8 3.3 8.0	0.207 0.207 0.207	2.5 4.8 3.6	LOS A LOS A LOS A	0.8 0.8 0.8	6.1 6.1 6.1	0.29 0.29 0.29	0.45 0.45 0.45	0.29 0.29 0.29	28.5 28.3 28.4
West	: Eliza	beth Stre	et (W)											
10 11 Appre	L2 T1 oach	166 116 282	0.0 18.1 7.4	175 122 297	0.0 18.1 7.4	0.158 0.158 0.158	2.0 0.0 1.2	LOS A LOS A NA	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.19 0.19 0.19	0.00 0.00 0.00	30.2 28.4 29.7
All Vehic	les	746	6.3	785	6.3	0.207	2.4	NA	1.0	7.2	0.23	0.33	0.23	28.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

V Site: 2 [Goulburn St_Elizabeth St_PM (Site Folder: Existing Conditions)]

PM Peak: 16:00-17:00 Site Category: Existing Design Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	cle M	ovemen	t Perfo	rmance										
Mov ID	Turn	INP VOLU [Total veh/h		DEM FLO [Total veh/h		Deg. Satn v/c		Level of Service		ACK OF EUE Dist] m	Prop. E Que	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
East:	Elizal	oeth Stree	et (E)											
5 6 Appre	T1 R2 oach	127 100 227	12.6 2.0 7.9	134 105 239	12.6 2.0 7.9	0.143 0.143 0.143	0.5 3.0 1.6	LOS A LOS A NA	0.6 0.6 0.6	4.8 4.8 4.8	0.28 0.28 0.28	0.19 0.19 0.19	0.28 0.28 0.28	27.4 29.2 28.4
North	n: Gou	lburn Stre	et (N)											
7 9 Appre	L2 R2 oach	194 148 342	1.0 0.0 0.6	204 156 360	1.0 0.0 0.6	0.323 0.323 0.323	2.6 4.6 3.4	LOS A LOS A LOS A	1.4 1.4 1.4	10.0 10.0 10.0	0.33 0.33 0.33	0.47 0.47 0.47	0.33 0.33 0.33	28.6 28.4 28.5
West	: Eliza	beth Stre	et (W)											
10 11 Appre	L2 T1	66 129 195	1.5 20.2 13.9	69 136 205	1.5 20.2 13.9	0.112 0.112 0.112	2.0 0.0 0.7	LOS A LOS A NA	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.11 0.11 0.11	0.00 0.00 0.00	30.5 29.0 29.7
All Vehic		764	6.2	804	6.2	0.323	2.2	NA	1.4	10.0	0.23	0.29	0.23	28.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

Site: 3204 [Goulburn St_Campbell St_AM (Site Folder: Future Base - 2023)]

AM Peak: 07:45-08:45 Site Category: Existing Design Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time = 100 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Timings based on settings in the Site Phasing & Timing dialog Phase Times determined by the program Phase Sequence: Opposed Turns Reference Phase: Phase A Input Phase Sequence: A, B Output Phase Sequence: A, B

Vehi	cle M	ovemen	t Perfo	rmance										
Mov ID	Turn	INP VOLU [Total	MES HV]	لDEM FLO [Total	WS HV]	Deg. Satn	Delay	Level of Service	95% BA QUE [Veh.	EUE Dist]	Prop. E Que	ffective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed
South	n: Gou	veh/h Ilburn Stre	% Pet (S)	veh/h	%	V/C	sec	_	veh	m	_	_	_	km/h
			. ,											
1	L2	67	2.7	71	2.7	0.064	10.3	LOS A	1.4	9.8	0.43	0.52	0.43	24.9
2	T1	109	1.1	115	1.1	0.094	7.3	LOS A	2.1	14.8	0.40	0.33	0.40	26.8
Appro	oach	176	1.7	185	1.7	0.094	8.4	LOS A	2.1	14.8	0.41	0.40	0.41	26.2
North	: Gou	lburn Stre	et (N)											
8	T1	128	4.9	135	4.9	0.137	7.7	LOS A	2.7	19.6	0.42	0.38	0.42	26.4
9	R2	34	6.7	36	6.7	*0.137	10.0	LOS A	2.7	19.6	0.43	0.41	0.43	25.7
Appro	bach	162	5.3	171	5.3	0.137	8.2	LOS A	2.7	19.6	0.42	0.38	0.42	26.3
West	: Cam	pbell Stre	et (W)											
10	L2	48	2.4	51	2.4	*0.134	36.8	LOS C	2.0	14.5	0.85	0.69	0.85	16.4
12	R2	32	4.3	34	4.3	0.090	36.5	LOS C	1.3	9.7	0.84	0.68	0.84	16.5
Appro	bach	80	3.2	84	3.2	0.134	36.7	LOS C	2.0	14.5	0.84	0.69	0.84	16.4
All Vehic	les	418	3.4	440	3.4	0.137	13.8	LOS A	2.7	19.6	0.50	0.45	0.50	24.0

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

Site: 3204 [Goulburn St_Campbell St_PM (Site Folder: Future Base - 2023)]

PM Peak: 16:00-17:00 Site Category: Existing Design Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time = 100 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Timings based on settings in the Site Phasing & Timing dialog Phase Times determined by the program Phase Sequence: Opposed Turns Reference Phase: Phase A Input Phase Sequence: A, B Output Phase Sequence: A, B

Vehicle Movement Performance														
Mov ID	Turn	INP VOLU [Total veh/h		DEM/ FLO [Total veh/h		Deg. Satn v/c		Level of Service		ACK OF EUE Dist] m	Prop. E Que	ffective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	n: Gou	lburn Stre	eet (S)											
1 2 Appro	L2 T1 bach	31 102 133	0.0 3.9 3.0	33 107 140	0.0 3.9 3.0	0.027 0.083 0.083	8.1 5.4 6.0	LOS A LOS A LOS A	0.5 1.7 1.7	3.8 12.2 12.2	0.36 0.35 0.35	0.48 0.28 0.33	0.36 0.35 0.35	26.0 27.5 27.3
North	n: Gou	burn Stre	et (N)											
8 9 Appro	T1 R2 bach	134 26 160	0.0 7.1 1.2	141 27 168	0.0 7.1 1.2	0.118 * 0.118 0.118	5.5 7.6 5.8	LOS A LOS A LOS A	2.2 2.2 2.2	15.7 15.7 15.7	0.35 0.36 0.35	0.32 0.34 0.32	0.35 0.36 0.35	27.4 27.0 27.3
West	: Cam	pbell Stre	et (W)											
10 12 Appro	L2 R2 bach	25 31 56	8.3 0.0 3.7	26 33 59	8.3 0.0 3.7	0.095 * 0.112 0.112	41.2 41.3 41.3	LOS C LOS C LOS C	1.1 1.4 1.4	8.4 9.7 9.7	0.88 0.89 0.89	0.68 0.69 0.69	0.88 0.89 0.89	15.5 15.5 15.5
All Vehic	les	349	2.3	367	2.3	0.118	11.6	LOS A	2.2	15.7	0.44	0.38	0.44	24.9

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

V Site: 2 [Goulburn St_Elizabeth St_AM (Site Folder: Future Base - 2023)]

AM Peak: 07:45-08:45 Site Category: Existing Design Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	cle M	ovemen	t Perfo	rmance										
Mov ID	Turn	INP VOLU [Total veh/h		DEM, FLO [Total veh/h		Deg. Satn v/c		Level of Service		ACK OF EUE Dist] m	Prop. E Que	ffective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
East:	Elizal	oeth Stree	et (E)											
5 6 Appro	T1 R2 oach	71 212 283	8.5 2.1 3.7	75 223 298	8.5 2.1 3.7	0.211 0.211 0.211	1.3 3.5 3.0	LOS A LOS A NA	1.1 1.1 1.1	8.0 8.0 8.0	0.45 0.45 0.45	0.40 0.40 0.40	0.45 0.45 0.45	26.1 28.3 28.0
North	n: Gou	lburn Stre	et (N)											
7 9 Appro	L2 R2 oach	131 109 240	11.8 3.3 7.9	138 115 253	11.8 3.3 7.9	0.252 0.252 0.252	2.5 5.2 3.7	LOS A LOS A LOS A	1.0 1.0 1.0	7.6 7.6 7.6	0.30 0.30 0.30	0.46 0.46 0.46	0.30 0.30 0.30	28.4 28.2 28.3
West	: Eliza	beth Stre	et (W)											
10 11 Appro	L2 T1 oach	184 116 300	0.0 18.1 7.0	194 122 316	0.0 18.1 7.0	0.168 0.168 0.168	2.0 0.0 1.2	LOS A LOS A NA	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.20 0.20 0.20	0.00 0.00 0.00	30.1 28.4 29.7
All Vehic	cles	823	6.1	866	6.1	0.252	2.5	NA	1.1	8.0	0.24	0.34	0.24	28.6

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

V Site: 2 [Goulburn St_Elizabeth St_PM (Site Folder: Future Base - 2023)]

PM Peak: 16:00-17:00 Site Category: Existing Design Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	cle M	ovemen	t Perfo	rmance										
Mov ID	Turn	INP VOLU [Total veh/h		DEM FLO [Total veh/h		Deg. Satn v/c		Level of Service		ACK OF EUE Dist] m	Prop. E Que	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
East:	Elizal	oeth Stree	et (E)											
5 6 Appre	T1 R2 oach	127 123 250	12.6 2.0 7.4	134 129 263	12.6 2.0 7.4	0.161 0.161 0.161	0.7 3.0 1.8	LOS A LOS A NA	0.8 0.8 0.8	5.8 5.8 5.8	0.32 0.32 0.32	0.22 0.22 0.22	0.32 0.32 0.32	27.2 29.0 28.3
North	n: Gou	lburn Stre	et (N)											
7 9 Appre	L2 R2 oach	216 164 380	1.0 0.0 0.6	227 173 400	1.0 0.0 0.6	0.365 0.365 0.365	2.7 5.0 3.7	LOS A LOS A LOS A	1.8 1.8 1.8	12.4 12.4 12.4	0.34 0.34 0.34	0.49 0.49 0.49	0.36 0.36 0.36	28.5 28.2 28.4
West	: Eliza	beth Stre	et (W)											
10 11 Appre	L2 T1	81 129 210	1.5 20.2 13.0	85 136 221	1.5 20.2 13.0	0.121 0.121 0.121	2.0 0.0 0.8	LOS A LOS A NA	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.13 0.13 0.13	0.00 0.00 0.00	30.4 28.9 29.7
All Vehic		840	5.7	884	5.7	0.365	2.4	NA	1.8	12.4	0.25	0.32	0.26	28.6

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

Site: 3204 [Goulburn St_Campbell St_AM (Site Folder: Project Case - 2023)]

AM Peak: 07:45-08:45 Site Category: Existing Design Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time = 100 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Timings based on settings in the Site Phasing & Timing dialog Phase Times determined by the program Phase Sequence: Opposed Turns Reference Phase: Phase A Input Phase Sequence: A, B Output Phase Sequence: A, B

Vehi	cle M	ovemen	t Perfo	rmance										
Mov ID	Turn	INP VOLU [Total	MES HV]	DEM FLO Total]	WS HV]	Deg. Satn		Level of Service	95% BA QUE [Veh.		Prop. E Que	ffective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed
0 11	•	veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	n: Gou	Iburn Stre	eet (S)											
1	L2	69	2.7	73	2.7	0.058	6.9	LOS A	1.1	7.7	0.33	0.48	0.33	26.7
2	T1	162	1.1	171	1.1	0.123	4.2	LOS A	2.4	17.0	0.31	0.26	0.31	28.0
Appro	oach	231	1.6	243	1.6	0.123	5.0	LOS A	2.4	17.0	0.32	0.33	0.32	27.7
North	: Gou	burn Stre	et (N)											
8	T1	303	4.9	319	4.9	0.235	4.6	LOS A	4.5	33.2	0.34	0.31	0.34	27.8
9	R2	34	6.7	36	6.7	*0.235	6.7	LOS A	4.5	33.2	0.35	0.33	0.35	27.6
Appro	oach	337	5.1	355	5.1	0.235	4.8	LOS A	4.5	33.2	0.34	0.31	0.34	27.8
West	: Cam	pbell Stre	et (W)											
10	L2	48	2.4	51	2.4	0.234	46.4	LOS D	2.3	16.5	0.94	0.73	0.94	14.6
12	R2	48	4.3	51	4.3	*0.237	46.5	LOS D	2.3	16.8	0.94	0.73	0.94	14.6
Appro	bach	96	3.4	101	3.4	0.237	46.4	LOS D	2.3	16.8	0.94	0.73	0.94	14.6
All Vehic	les	664	3.6	699	3.6	0.237	10.9	LOS A	4.5	33.2	0.42	0.38	0.42	25.2

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

Site: 3204 [Goulburn St_Campbell St_PM (Site Folder: Project Case - 2023)]

PM Peak: 16:00-17:00 Site Category: Existing Design Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time = 100 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Timings based on settings in the Site Phasing & Timing dialog Phase Times determined by the program Phase Sequence: Opposed Turns Reference Phase: Phase A Input Phase Sequence: A, B Output Phase Sequence: A, B

Vehi	cle M	ovemen	t Perfo	rmance										
Mov ID	Turn	INP VOLU [Total		DEM/ FLO ^v [Total		Deg. Satn		Level of Service	95% BA QUI [Veh.		Prop. E Que	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	n: Gou	lburn Stre	eet (S)											
1	L2	49	0.0	52	0.0	0.044	6.2	LOS A	0.8	5.5	0.30	0.44	0.30	27.2
2	T1	257	3.9	271	3.9	*0.191	4.2	LOS A	3.8	27.7	0.32	0.28	0.32	28.0
Appro	oach	306	3.3	322	3.3	0.191	4.5	LOS A	3.8	27.7	0.32	0.31	0.32	27.9
North	: Gou	lburn Stre	et (N)											
8	T1	203	0.0	214	0.0	0.158	4.0	LOS A	2.8	19.5	0.31	0.28	0.31	28.1
9	R2	26	7.1	27	7.1	0.158	6.1	LOS A	2.8	19.5	0.31	0.30	0.31	28.0
Appro	bach	229	0.8	241	0.8	0.158	4.3	LOS A	2.8	19.5	0.31	0.28	0.31	28.0
West	: Cam	pbell Stre	et (W)											
10	L2	25	8.3	26	8.3	0.139	46.8	LOS D	1.2	9.0	0.94	0.70	0.94	14.6
12	R2	34	0.0	36	0.0	*0.178	47.1	LOS D	1.6	11.5	0.94	0.71	0.94	14.5
Appro	oach	59	3.5	62	3.5	0.178	47.0	LOS D	1.6	11.5	0.94	0.71	0.94	14.5
All Vehic	les	594	2.3	625	2.3	0.191	8.6	LOS A	3.8	27.7	0.38	0.34	0.38	26.2

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

V Site: 2 [Goulburn St_Elizabeth St_AM (Site Folder: Project Case - 2023)]

AM Peak: 07:45-08:45 Site Category: Existing Design Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	cle M	ovemen	t Perfo	rmance										
Mov ID	Turn	INP VOLU [Total veh/h		DEM, FLO [Total veh/h		Deg. Satn v/c		Level of Service		ACK OF EUE Dist] m	Prop. E Que	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
East:	Elizal	oeth Stree	et (E)											
5 6 Appre	T1 R2 oach	71 240 311	8.5 2.1 3.6	75 253 327	8.5 2.1 3.6	0.238 0.238 0.238	1.5 3.7 3.2	LOS A LOS A NA	1.3 1.3 1.3	9.1 9.1 9.1	0.47 0.47 0.47	0.43 0.43 0.43	0.47 0.47 0.47	26.0 28.2 27.9
North	n: Gou	lburn Stre	et (N)											
7 9 Appre	L2 R2 oach	132 111 243	11.8 3.3 7.9	139 117 256	11.8 3.3 7.9	0.263 0.263 0.263	2.5 5.5 3.9	LOS A LOS A LOS A	1.1 1.1 1.1	8.0 8.0 8.0	0.30 0.30 0.30	0.47 0.47 0.47	0.30 0.30 0.30	28.3 28.1 28.2
West	: Eliza	beth Stre	et (W)											
10 11 Appre	L2 T1 oach	207 116 323	0.0 18.1 6.5	218 122 340	0.0 18.1 6.5	0.181 0.181 0.181	2.0 0.0 1.3	LOS A LOS A NA	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.21 0.21 0.21	0.00 0.00 0.00	30.1 28.3 29.7
All Vehic	cles	877	5.9	923	5.9	0.263	2.7	NA	1.3	9.1	0.25	0.36	0.25	28.6

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

V Site: 2 [Goulburn St_Elizabeth St_PM (Site Folder: Project Case - 2023)]

PM Peak: 16:00-17:00 Site Category: Existing Design Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	cle M	ovemen	t Perfo	rmance										
Mov ID	Turn	INP VOLU [Total veh/h		DEM, FLO [Total veh/h		Deg. Satn v/c		Level of Service		ACK OF EUE Dist] m	Prop. E Que	ffective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
East:	Elizal	oeth Stree	et (E)											
5 6 Appro	T1 R2 bach	127 130 257	12.6 2.0 7.2	134 137 271	12.6 2.0 7.2	0.167 0.167 0.167	0.7 3.1 1.9	LOS A LOS A NA	0.8 0.8 0.8	6.1 6.1 6.1	0.33 0.33 0.33	0.23 0.23 0.23	0.33 0.33 0.33	27.1 29.0 28.3
North	: Gou	lburn Stre	et (N)											
7 9 Appro	L2 R2 bach	242 183 425	1.0 0.0 0.6	255 193 447	1.0 0.0 0.6	0.411 0.411 0.411	2.9 5.4 4.0	LOS A LOS A LOS A	2.3 2.3 2.3	16.1 16.1 16.1	0.35 0.35 0.35	0.52 0.52 0.52	0.40 0.40 0.40	28.3 28.1 28.2
West	: Eliza	beth Stre	et (W)											
10 11	L2 T1	86 129	1.5 20.2	91 136	1.5 20.2	0.124 0.124	2.0 0.0	LOS A LOS A	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00	0.13 0.13	0.00 0.00	30.4 28.9
Appro	bach	215	12.7	226	12.7	0.124	0.8	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.13	0.00	29.7
All Vehic	les	897	5.4	944	5.4	0.411	2.6	NA	2.3	16.1	0.26	0.34	0.28	28.5

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

Site: 3204 [Goulburn St_Campbell St_AM (Site Folder: Future Base - 2033)]

AM Peak: 07:45-08:45 Site Category: Existing Design Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time = 100 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Timings based on settings in the Site Phasing & Timing dialog Phase Times determined by the program Phase Sequence: Opposed Turns Reference Phase: Phase A Input Phase Sequence: A, B Output Phase Sequence: A, B

Vehi	cle M	ovemen	t Perfo	rmance										
Mov ID	Turn	INP VOLU [Total	MES HV]	DEM/ FLO ^V [Total	WS HV]	Deg. Satn	Delay	Level of Service	QUI [Veh.	ACK OF EUE Dist]	Prop. E Que	ffective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed
South	ו: Gou	veh/h Iburn Stre	% eet (S)	veh/h	%	v/c	sec	_	veh	m	_	_	_	km/h
1 2	L2 T1	67 159	2.7 1.1	71 167	2.7 1.1	0.060 0.129	8.7 5.9	LOS A LOS A	1.2 2.8	8.8 19.8	0.38 0.37	0.50 0.31	0.38 0.37	25.7 27.3
Appro		226	1.6	238	1.6	0.129	6.7	LOS A	2.8	19.8	0.38	0.37	0.38	26.9
North	: Gou	burn Stre	et (N)											
8	T1	178	4.9	187	4.9	0.166	6.3	LOS A	3.2	23.6	0.38	0.35	0.38	27.0
9	R2	34	6.7	36	6.7	*0.166	8.6	LOS A	3.2	23.6	0.39	0.37	0.39	26.5
Appro	bach	212	5.2	223	5.2	0.166	6.7	LOS A	3.2	23.6	0.38	0.35	0.38	27.0
West	: Cam	pbell Stre	et (W)											
10	L2	48	2.4	51	2.4	*0.165	40.8	LOS C	2.1	15.3	0.89	0.71	0.89	15.6
12	R2	32	4.3	34	4.3	0.112	40.4	LOS C	1.4	10.2	0.88	0.69	0.88	15.7
Appro	bach	80	3.2	84	3.2	0.165	40.7	LOS C	2.1	15.3	0.89	0.70	0.89	15.7
All Vehic	les	518	3.3	545	3.3	0.166	11.9	LOS A	3.2	23.6	0.46	0.41	0.46	24.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

Site: 3204 [Goulburn St_Campbell St_PM (Site Folder: Future Base - 2033)]

PM Peak: 16:00-17:00 Site Category: Existing Design Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time = 100 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Timings based on settings in the Site Phasing & Timing dialog Phase Times determined by the program Phase Sequence: Opposed Turns Reference Phase: Phase A Input Phase Sequence: A, B Output Phase Sequence: A, B

Vehi	cle M	ovemen	t Perfo	rmance										
Mov ID	Turn	INP VOLU [Total veh/h		DEM/ FLO [Total veh/h		Deg. Satn v/c		Level of Service	95% BA QUI [Veh. veh		Prop. E Que	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
Sout	h: Gou	lburn Stre	eet (S)											
1 2 Appre	L2 T1 oach	31 152 183	0.0 3.9 3.2	33 160 193	0.0 3.9 3.2	0.026 0.116 0.116	6.4 4.2 4.6	LOS A LOS A LOS A	0.5 2.2 2.2	3.3 16.1 16.1	0.31 0.31 0.31	0.45 0.26 0.29	0.31 0.31 0.31	27.0 28.0 27.9
North	n: Goul	lburn Stre	et (N)											
8 9 Appre	T1 R2 oach	184 26 210	0.0 7.1 0.9	194 27 221	0.0 7.1 0.9	0.144 * 0.144 0.144	4.3 6.4 4.5	LOS A LOS A LOS A	2.6 2.6 2.6	18.4 18.4 18.4	0.31 0.32 0.31	0.29 0.31 0.29	0.31 0.32 0.31	27.9 27.8 27.9
West	: Cam	pbell Stre	et (W)											
10 12 Appre	L2 R2 oach	25 31 56	8.3 0.0 3.7	26 33 59	8.3 0.0 3.7	0.127 * 0.149 0.149	45.6 45.8 45.7	LOS D LOS D LOS D	1.2 1.5 1.5	8.9 10.3 10.3	0.93 0.93 0.93	0.70 0.71 0.70	0.93 0.93 0.93	14.8 14.8 14.8
All Vehic		449	2.2	473	2.2	0.149	9.7	LOS A	2.6	18.4	0.39	0.34	0.39	25.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

V Site: 2 [Goulburn St_Elizabeth St_AM (Site Folder: Future Base - 2033)]

AM Peak: 07:45-08:45 Site Category: Existing Design Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	cle M	ovemen	t Perfo	rmance										
Mov ID	Turn	INP VOLU [Total veh/h		DEM FLO [Total veh/h		Deg. Satn v/c		Level of Service		ACK OF EUE Dist] m	Prop. E Que	ffective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
East:	Elizat	beth Stree	et (E)											
5 6 Appro	T1 R2 bach	71 219 290	8.5 2.1 3.7	75 231 305	8.5 2.1 3.7	0.217 0.217 0.217	1.3 3.5 3.0	LOS A LOS A NA	1.1 1.1 1.1	8.2 8.2 8.2	0.45 0.45 0.45	0.40 0.40 0.40	0.45 0.45 0.45	26.1 28.3 27.9
North	: Gou	lburn Stre	et (N)											
7 9 Appro	L2 R2 bach	137 114 251	11.8 3.3 7.9	144 120 264	11.8 3.3 7.9	0.265 0.265 0.265	2.5 5.3 3.8	LOS A LOS A LOS A	1.1 1.1 1.1	8.1 8.1 8.1	0.30 0.30 0.30	0.47 0.47 0.47	0.30 0.30 0.30	28.4 28.2 28.3
West	: Eliza	beth Stre	et (W)											
10 11	L2 T1	189 116	0.0 18.1	199 122	0.0 18.1	0.171 0.171	2.0 0.0	LOS A LOS A	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00	0.20 0.20	0.00 0.00	30.1 28.3
Appro All Vehic		305 846	6.9 6.1	321 891	6.9 6.1	0.171 0.265	1.2 2.6	NA	0.0 1.1	0.0 8.2	0.00 0.25	0.20 0.35	0.00	29.7 28.6

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

V Site: 2 [Goulburn St_Elizabeth St_PM (Site Folder: Future Base - 2033)]

PM Peak: 16:00-17:00 Site Category: Existing Design Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	cle M	ovemen	t Perfo	rmance										
Mov ID	Turn	INP VOLU [Total veh/h		DEM FLO [Total veh/h		Deg. Satn v/c		Level of Service		ACK OF EUE Dist] m	Prop. E Que	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
East:	Elizal	beth Stree	et (E)											
5 6 Appr	T1 R2 oach	127 130 257	12.6 2.0 7.2	134 137 271	12.6 2.0 7.2	0.167 0.167 0.167	0.7 3.1 1.9	LOS A LOS A NA	0.8 0.8 0.8	6.1 6.1 6.1	0.33 0.33 0.33	0.23 0.23 0.23	0.33 0.33 0.33	27.1 29.0 28.3
North	n: Gou	lburn Stre	et (N)											
7 9 Appre	L2 R2 oach	222 170 392	1.0 0.0 0.6	234 179 413	1.0 0.0 0.6	0.380 0.380 0.380	2.8 5.2 3.8	LOS A LOS A LOS A	1.9 1.9 1.9	13.5 13.5 13.5	0.35 0.35 0.35	0.50 0.50 0.50	0.37 0.37 0.37	28.4 28.2 28.3
West	: Eliza	beth Stre	et (W)											
10 11	L2 T1	86 129	1.5 20.2	91 136	1.5 20.2	0.124	2.0 0.0	LOS A LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.13	0.00	30.4 28.9
Appr All Vehic		215 864	12.7 5.6	226 909	12.7 5.6	0.124 0.380	0.8 2.5	NA	0.0 1.9	0.0 13.5	0.00 0.25	0.13 0.33	0.00 0.27	29.7 28.6

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

Site: 3204 [Goulburn St_Campbell St_AM (Site Folder: Project Case - 2033)]

AM Peak: 07:45-08:45 Site Category: Existing Design Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time = 100 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Timings based on settings in the Site Phasing & Timing dialog Phase Times determined by the program Phase Sequence: Opposed Turns Reference Phase: Phase A Input Phase Sequence: A, B Output Phase Sequence: A, B

Vehi	cle M	ovemen	t Perfo	rmance										
Mov ID	Turn	INP VOLU [Total veh/h		DEM/ FLO [Total veh/h		Deg. Satn v/c		Level of Service	95% BA QUE [Veh. veh	EUE Dist]	Prop. E Que	ffective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	n: Gou	Iburn Stre		VEN/II	70	v/C	360	_	VEIT	m	_	_	_	KIII/11
1 2	L2 T1	70 186	2.7 1.1	74 196	2.7 1.1	0.059 0.141	6.9 4.3	LOS A LOS A	1.1 2.8	7.9 19.8	0.33 0.32	0.48 0.27	0.33 0.32	26.7 28.0
Appro		256	1.5	269	1.5	0.141	5.0	LOS A	2.8	19.8	0.32	0.33	0.32	27.7
North	: Gou	lburn Stre	et (N)											
8 9	T1 R2	421 34	4.9 6.7	443 36	4.9 6.7	0.313 * 0.313	5.0 7.1	LOS A LOS A	6.6 6.6	48.3	0.36	0.33 0.35	0.36	27.7 27.5
9 Appro		34 455	5.0	479	5.0	0.313	5.1	LOS A	6.6	48.3 48.3	0.38 0.36	0.35	0.38 0.36	27.5
West	: Cam	pbell Stre	et (W)											
10 12	L2 R2	48 61	2.4 4.3	51 64	2.4 4.3	0.234 * 0.302	46.4 47.0	LOS D LOS D	2.3 3.0	16.5 21.6	0.94 0.95	0.73 0.74	0.94 0.95	14.6 14.6
Appro	bach	109	3.5	115	3.5	0.302	46.7	LOS D	3.0	21.6	0.95	0.74	0.95	14.6
All Vehic	les	820	3.7	863	3.7	0.313	10.6	LOS A	6.6	48.3	0.43	0.38	0.43	25.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

* Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

Site: 3204 [Goulburn St_Campbell St_PM (Site Folder: Project Case - 2033)]

PM Peak: 16:00-17:00 Site Category: Existing Design Signals - EQUISAT (Fixed-Time/SCATS) Isolated Cycle Time = 100 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Timings based on settings in the Site Phasing & Timing dialog Phase Times determined by the program Phase Sequence: Opposed Turns Reference Phase: Phase A Input Phase Sequence: A, B Output Phase Sequence: A, B

Vehi	cle M	ovemen	t Perfo	rmance										
Mov ID	Turn	INP VOLU [Total		DEMA FLO [Total		Deg. Satn		Level of Service	95% BA QUI [Veh.		Prop. E Que	ffective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	n: Gou	lburn Stre	eet (S)											
1	L2	62	0.0	65	0.0	0.059	5.7	LOS A	1.0	7.2	0.29	0.42	0.29	27.6
2	T1	362	3.9	381	3.9	*0.258	3.8	LOS A	5.2	37.9	0.32	0.29	0.32	28.2
Appro	oach	424	3.3	446	3.3	0.258	4.1	LOS A	5.2	37.9	0.31	0.31	0.31	28.1
North	: Gou	lburn Stre	et (N)											
8	T1	240	0.0	253	0.0	0.181	3.7	LOS A	3.1	22.2	0.30	0.27	0.30	28.2
9	R2	26	7.1	27	7.1	0.181	5.9	LOS A	3.1	22.2	0.31	0.29	0.31	28.1
Appro	oach	266	0.7	280	0.7	0.181	4.0	LOS A	3.1	22.2	0.30	0.28	0.30	28.2
West	: Cam	pbell Stre	et (W)											
10	L2	25	8.3	26	8.3	0.169	49.4	LOS D	1.2	9.3	0.96	0.70	0.96	14.1
12	R2	36	0.0	38	0.0	*0.230	49.7	LOS D	1.8	12.6	0.96	0.72	0.96	14.1
Appro	oach	61	3.4	64	3.4	0.230	49.6	LOS D	1.8	12.6	0.96	0.71	0.96	14.1
All Vehic	les	751	2.4	791	2.4	0.258	7.7	LOS A	5.2	37.9	0.36	0.33	0.36	26.5

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Critical Movement (Signal Timing)

V Site: 2 [Goulburn St_Elizabeth St_AM (Site Folder: Project Case - 2033)]

AM Peak: 07:45-08:45 Site Category: Existing Design Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehicle Movement Performance														
Mov ID	Turn	INP VOLU [Total veh/h		DEM, FLO [Total veh/h		Deg. Satn v/c		Level of Service		ACK OF EUE Dist] m	Prop. E Que	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
East: Elizabeth Street (E)														
5 6 Appro	T1 R2 bach	71 268 339	8.5 2.1 3.4	75 282 357	8.5 2.1 3.4	0.268 0.268 0.268	1.7 3.9 3.4	LOS A LOS A NA	1.4 1.4 1.4	10.3 10.3 10.3	0.50 0.50 0.50	0.46 0.46 0.46	0.50 0.50 0.50	25.8 28.1 27.8
North: Goulburn Street (N)														
7 9 Appro	L2 R2 bach	143 119 262	11.8 3.3 7.9	151 125 276	11.8 3.3 7.9	0.292 0.292 0.292	2.5 6.0 4.1	LOS A LOS A LOS A	1.2 1.2 1.2	8.9 8.9 8.9	0.31 0.31 0.31	0.47 0.47 0.47	0.31 0.31 0.31	28.2 28.0 28.1
West	: Eliza	beth Stre	et (W)											
10 11 Appro	L2 T1 bach	231 116 347	0.0 18.1 6.1	243 122 365	0.0 18.1 6.1	0.194 0.194 0.194	2.0 0.0 1.3	LOS A LOS A NA	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.22 0.22 0.22	0.00 0.00 0.00	30.1 28.2 29.7
All Vehic	les	948	5.6	998	5.6	0.292	2.8	NA	1.4	10.3	0.27	0.37	0.27	28.5

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

V Site: 2 [Goulburn St_Elizabeth St_PM (Site Folder: Project Case - 2033)]

PM Peak: 16:00-17:00 Site Category: Existing Design Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehicle Movement Performance														
Mov ID	Turn	INP VOLU [Total veh/h		DEM, FLO [Total veh/h		Deg. Satn v/c		Level of Service		ACK OF EUE Dist] m	Prop. E Que	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
East: Elizabeth Street (E)														
5 6 Appre	T1 R2 oach	127 142 269	12.6 2.0 7.0	134 149 283	12.6 2.0 7.0	0.177 0.177 0.177	0.8 3.1 2.0	LOS A LOS A NA	0.9 0.9 0.9	6.6 6.6 6.6	0.35 0.35 0.35	0.25 0.25 0.25	0.35 0.35 0.35	27.0 28.9 28.2
North: Goulburn Street (N)														
7 9 Appre	L2 R2 oach	268 205 473	1.0 0.0 0.6	282 216 498	1.0 0.0 0.6	0.463 0.463 0.463	3.2 6.0 4.4	LOS A LOS A LOS A	3.0 3.0 3.0	20.9 20.9 20.9	0.37 0.37 0.37	0.55 0.55 0.55	0.46 0.46 0.46	28.0 27.8 27.9
West: Elizabeth Street (W)														
10 11	L2 T1	94 129	1.5 20.2	99 136	1.5 20.2	0.128 0.128	2.0 0.0	LOS A LOS A	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00	0.14 0.14	0.00 0.00	30.4 28.8
Appro	oach	223	12.3	235	12.3	0.128	0.8	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.14	0.00	29.7
All Vehic	cles	965	5.1	1016	5.1	0.463	2.9	NA	3.0	20.9	0.28	0.37	0.32	28.3

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: ASON GROUP PTY LTD | Licence: NETWORK / 1PC | Created: Thursday, 12 May 2022 11:48:22 AM Project: C:\Users\Eric Ye\Ason Group\Ason Group Team Site - Ason SL3 (Engineer)\Projects\1300-1399\1362\Projects\Modelling\SIDRA\P1362 - New Health Care Development_v3.sip9